

THE LAW OF THE ITERATED LOGARITHM FOR BROWNIAN MOTION IN A BANACH SPACE

BY

J. KUELBS⁽¹⁾ AND R. LEPAGE

ABSTRACT. Strassen's version of the law of the iterated logarithm is proved for Brownian motion in a real separable Banach space. We apply this result to obtain the law of the iterated logarithm for a sequence of independent Gaussian random variables with values in a Banach space and to obtain Strassen's result.

Introduction. Let H denote a real separable Hilbert space with norm $\|\cdot\|_H$ and assume $\|\cdot\|_B$ is a measurable norm on H in the sense of [2]. Then there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that $\|x\|_B \leq M\|x\|_H$ for all $x \in H$, and if B is the completion of H in $\|\cdot\|_B$ it follows that B is a real separable Banach space. We will view H as a subspace of B and since $\|\cdot\|_B$ is weaker than $\|\cdot\|_H$ on H it follows that B^* , the topological dual of B , can be continuously injected into H^* , the topological dual of H . We call (H, B) an *abstract Wiener space*.

For $t > 0$, let m_t denote the canonical Gaussian cylinder set measure on H with variance parameter t and let μ_t ($t > 0$) denote the Borel probability measure on B induced by m_t ($t > 0$). We call μ_t the *Wiener measure on B* generated by H with variance parameter t .

Let Ω_B denote the space of continuous functions w from $[0, \infty)$ into B such that $w(0) = 0$, and let \mathfrak{G} be the σ -field of Ω_B generated by the functions $w \rightarrow w(t)$. Then there is a unique probability measure P on \mathfrak{G} such that if $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$ then $w(t_j) - w(t_{j-1})$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) are independent and $w(t_j) - w(t_{j-1})$ has distribution $\mu_{t_j - t_{j-1}}$ on B . In particular, the stochastic process W_t defined on $(\Omega_B, \mathfrak{G}, P)$ by $W_t(w) = w(t)$ has stationary independent Gaussian increments with transition probabilities $P_t(x, A) = \mu((A - x)/\sqrt{t})$ for $t > 0$. We call it *Brownian motion in B* . For a more detailed discussion see [2].

It is known from [2] that if B is an arbitrary real separable Banach space, then there exists a dense subset H of B which is a real separable Hilbert space and the given norm on B is a measurable norm on H . Hence any real separable Banach space can be used in the setup we described above. We also know from [5] or from [6] and [1] that if μ is any mean zero Gaussian probability measure on the Borel subsets of a real separable Banach space B , then there exists a real separable Hilbert space H which is a subset of B , the given norm on B is a

Received by the editors June 21, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 60G15, 60G17, 60B05, 60J65; Secondary 28A40.

Key words and phrases. Abstract Wiener spaces, measurable norm, Gaussian measures, Strassen law of the iterated logarithm, Brownian motion in a Banach space.

⁽¹⁾ Supported in part by NSF Grant GP 18759.

Copyright © 1974, American Mathematical Society

measurable norm on H , $\mu(M) = 1$ (M is the closure of H in B), and μ is the Wiener measure on M generated by H with variance parameter 1. Furthermore, H is unique as a subset of B since it is precisely the set of vectors such that μ translated by such a vector yields a measure equivalent to μ . However, the main point to be realized is that given any real separable Banach space B , or B and a mean zero Gaussian measure μ on B , we can construct a Brownian motion on B as indicated above. Further, in the case μ is given on B we see that $\mu = \mu_1$ and that if M is a proper subspace of B then our Brownian motion is, with probability one, in the closed subspace M satisfying $\mu_1(M) = 1$.

Let C_B denote the continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ into B which vanish at zero. Then C_B is a Banach space in the norm $\|f\|_{C_B} = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|f(t)\|_B$.

Lemma 1. (a) *If B is a real separable Banach space, then C_B is a real separable Banach space in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{C_B}$.*

(b) *The minimal sigma-algebra \mathcal{B} making the mappings $f \rightarrow f(t)$ measurable consists of the Borel subsets of C_B .*

(c) *Brownian motion on B induces a probability measure P on (C_B, \mathcal{B}) which is a mean zero Gaussian measure, i.e. every linear functional in C_B^* has a Gaussian distribution with mean zero.*

Proof. (a) Let $t_j = j/2^N$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^N$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a dense subset of B . Let S_N denote the subset of C_B consisting of functions which are linear on each of the subintervals $[t_{j-1}, t_j]$ with values at t_j in $\{x_n\}$. Then $\bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} S_N$ is a countable dense subset of C_B .

(b) Since C_B is separable it suffices to prove that if $f_0 \in C_B$ and $\epsilon > 0$, then $U = \{f: \|f - f_0\|_{C_B} \leq \epsilon\}$ is a set in \mathcal{B} . Let $I_N = \{f: \sup_{1 \leq j \leq 2^N} \|f(t_j) - f_0(t_j)\|_{C_B} \leq \epsilon\}$ for $N = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\{t_j\}$ as in (a). Then $U = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} I_N$.

(c) P is the probability measure on (C_B, \mathcal{B}) such that if $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq 1$ then $f(t_j) - f(t_{j-1})$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) are independent and $f(t_j) - f(t_{j-1})$ has distribution $\mu_{t_j - t_{j-1}}$ on B . We now must show P is a mean zero Gaussian measure on C_B . Let $f^* \in C_B^*$ and let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent random variables with values in C_B and the same distribution as P . Then $X_1 + \dots + X_n/\sqrt{n}$ has distribution P since for each $t \in [0, 1]$ the law of the map $f \rightarrow f(t)$ is the convolution of $\mu_{t/n}$ n times yielding μ_t . Hence the distribution of f^* has the same distribution as

$$f^*(X_1 + \dots + X_n/\sqrt{n}) = f^*(X_1) + \dots + f^*(X_n)/\sqrt{n}$$

and we see by [4, p. 166] that f^* is strictly stable with characteristic exponent 2 and this implies f^* has a Gaussian distribution with mean zero.

Our main result is a law of the iterated logarithm for Brownian motion in a Banach space as described prior to Lemma 1. This may be regarded as a general synthesis of the two log log law which follows.

I. (Strassen [10]). Let Ω_k denote the set of continuous maps from $[0, \infty)$ into

real k -dimensional space (\mathbf{R}^k) which vanish at zero, and let C_k denote the space of continuous maps vanishing at zero and mapping $[0, 1]$ into \mathbf{R}^k endowed with the supremum of the Euclidean norm for \mathbf{R}^k . If $W(t) = (W_1(t), \dots, W_k(t))$, $0 \leq t < \infty$, is a version of the k -dimensional Brownian motion with sample paths in Ω_k , then the sequence of random functions

$$\zeta_n(t) = W(nt)/(2n \log \log n)^{1/2} \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1, n \geq 3)$$

satisfies the following log log law:

$$\{\zeta_n, n \geq 3\} \subseteq C_k \text{ and with probability one converges in } C_k$$

to a compact set K_k of C_k and clusters at every point of K_k .

Here K_k denotes those $f = (f_1, \dots, f_k) \in C_k$ such that f is coordinatewise absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$, and satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^k \int_0^1 [df_i(s)/ds]^2 ds \leq 1$. By saying $\{\zeta_n: n \geq 3\}$ converges to K_k we mean that for every $\epsilon > 0$ the sequence is eventually within an ϵ -neighborhood of K_k and since K_k is compact this implies that with probability one $\{\zeta_n: n \geq 3\}$ is relatively norm compact in C_k .

II. (LePage [8]). Suppose B is a real separable Banach space and μ is a mean zero Gaussian measure on the Borel subsets of B . If X_1, X_2, \dots are independent identically distributed B -valued random vectors with distribution μ , then the sequence

$$\xi_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n/(2n \log \log n)^{1/2} \quad (n \geq 3)$$

almost surely converges in B -norm to a closed set $K \subseteq B$ and clusters at every point of K , where K is the unit ball of the reproducing kernel Hilbert space defined on $B^* \times B^*$ by μ .

The set K_k of Strassen's result may be identified as the unit ball of the reproducing kernel Hilbert space of the kernel defined for $0 \leq s, t \leq 1$, $0 \leq i, j \leq k$ by

$$(1) \quad E(w_i(s)w_j(t)) = \min(s, t)\delta_{ij}.$$

This suggests that I may be extended to B -valued Brownian motion using the methods of II. As it turns out, the resulting Theorem 1 of §4 contains both I and II as special cases, and is obtained in a self-contained manner independent of I and II.

2. Some properties of Brownian motion on B . Here we provide some basic lemmas. The content of Lemma 2 is found in [7] and can also be expressed in slightly different terms using [6].

Lemma 2. For (B, μ) as in II, let \mathcal{L} be the closure of B^* in $L_2(B, \mu)$. For each $L \in \mathcal{L}$ the convergent Bochner integral $x_L = \int_B L(x)x \mu(dx) \in B$ exists. If

$= \{x_L: L \in \mathcal{L}\} \subseteq B$ is a real separable Hilbert space isometrically isomorphic to \mathcal{L} under the inner product $(x_{L_1}, x_{L_2}) = \int_B L_1(x) L_2(x) \mu(dx)$. On H , $\|\cdot\|_B \leq \|\mu\| \|\cdot\|_H$ where $\|\mu\|^2 = \int_B \|x\|_B^2 \mu(dx) < \infty$. If $y^* \in B^*$ and $y = \int_B y^*(x) x \mu(dx)$, then $(y, x)_H = y^*(x)$ for every $x \in H$. If $\{x_j^*: j \geq 1\} \subseteq B^*$ is a complete orthonormal sequence for \mathcal{L} and $\{x_j: j \geq 1\} \subseteq H$ is the set of images $x_j = \int_B x_j^*(x) x \mu(dx)$ ($j \geq 1$), then $\sum_{j=1}^k x_j^*(x) x_j \rightarrow x$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, everywhere on H in the sense of the H -norm and almost everywhere on B in the B -norm. The closure \bar{H} of H in B is the topological support of μ on B and if elements of B are interpreted as (evaluation) functions on B^* , H may be interpreted as the reproducing kernel Hilbert space of μ .

Proof. That H is separable follows from [6] and the remainder is given in [7].

By [1, Theorems 2 and 3] and the fact that $\|\cdot\|_B \leq M \|\cdot\|_H$ we have that $\|\cdot\|_B$ is a measurable norm in the sense of Gross [2] and hence (H, \bar{H}) is an abstract Wiener space.

Lemma 3. Let B be a real separable Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_B$. Let H be a subspace of B which is a real Hilbert space in the norm $\|\cdot\|_H$ and assume $\|\cdot\|_B$ is a measurable norm on H . Let K denote the unit ball of H , i.e. $K = \{x \in H: \|x\|_H \leq 1\}$. Then K is a compact subset of B .

Proof. First we show K is a closed subset of B . Let $\{y_n\} \subseteq K$ and assume $\lim_n y_n = y \in B$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_B$. Now $\{y_n\} \subseteq K$ implies there is a subsequence $\{y_{n_j}\}$ such that $\{y_{n_j}\}$ converges weakly in H to $z \in H$. Now $\|z\|_H \leq 1$ by the uniform boundedness principle, and since $\{y_{n_j}\}$ also converges to y in $\|\cdot\|_B$ we have $\{y_{n_j}\}$ converging weakly to y and to z in B because B^* can be viewed as a subset of H^* . That is, since $\|\cdot\|_B$ is a measurable norm on H we have a constant M such that $\|\cdot\|_B \leq M \|\cdot\|_H$, and hence B^* can be continuously injected into H^* . Now B^* separating points of B implies $y = z$, and hence $z \in K$ implies $y \in K$. Hence K is closed in B .

Now we show K is compact in B . To do this we note that since $\|\cdot\|_B$ is a measurable norm on H we can construct a second measurable norm on H as in [2], call it $\|\cdot\|$, such that for $r > 0$, $V_r = \{x \in H: \|x\| \leq r\}$ has a compact closure in B . Now $\|\cdot\|$ measurable on H implies there exists an $M > 0$ such that $\|x\| \leq M \|x\|_H$ for all $x \in H$, and hence $K \subseteq \{x \in H: \|x\| \leq M\}$. Thus K has compact closure in B and since K is closed we have K compact.

There are three separable Banach spaces, each with a mean zero Gaussian measure situated on its Borel subsets, which figure in our analysis. Of these, (C_B, P) and (B, μ) have already been introduced. The third is (C, ν) where C is the space of real-valued continuous maps on $[0, 1]$ which vanish at zero (with the supremum norm) and ν is Wiener measure. Each of (C_B, P) , (C, ν) , (B, μ) satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2. Let $\mathcal{H} \subseteq C_B$, $H_0 \subseteq C$, $H \subseteq B$ denote the respective Hilbert spaces given for each of these spaces by Lemma 2, and let \mathcal{K} , K_0 , K be the respective unit balls of these spaces. Then Lemma 3 applies to \mathcal{K} , K_0 and K .

Using Lemma 2 one may prove the following familiar characterization of H_0 : $\phi \in H_0$ iff $\phi(0) = 0$, ϕ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$ and $\int_0^1 [(d/dt)\phi(t)]^2 dt < \infty$. The inner product on H_0 is

$$(\phi_1, \phi_2)_{H_0} = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \phi_1(t) \frac{d}{dt} \phi_2(t) dt.$$

Our next result enables us to interpret \mathcal{H} as a denumerable direct sum of copies of H_0 .

Lemma 4. \mathcal{H} has the following characterization in terms of any set $\{x_j^*: j \geq 1\} \subseteq B^*$ such that $\{x_j: j \geq 1\}$ is a complete orthonormal set for H : $f \in \mathcal{H}$ iff $f(0) = 0$, $f(t) \in H$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$, each $x_j^*(f) \in H_0$, and

$$\sum_j \int_0^1 [(d/dt)x_j^*(f)(t)]^2 dt < \infty.$$

The inner product on \mathcal{H} is given by

$$(f_1, f_2)_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_j \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} x_j^* f_1(t) \frac{d}{dt} x_j^* f_2(t) dt \quad \text{for } f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{H}.$$

In somewhat greater detail we have the following:

- (a) $\mathcal{H} = H_0 \otimes H$ (the tensor product).
- (b) If $x^* \in B^*$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}$ then $x^*f \in H_0$ and, for every $\phi \in H_0$, $(x^*f, \phi)_{H_0} = (f, \phi x)_{\mathcal{H}}$ where $(x^*f)(t) = x^*(f(t))$, $t \in [0, 1]$. $\|x^*f\|_{H_0} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|x\|_H$.
- (c) If $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ then $f(t) \in H$ and, for every $x^* \in B^*$, $(f(t), x)_H = (f, \min(t, \cdot)x)_{\mathcal{H}}$. $\|f(t)\|_H \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \sqrt{t}$.
- (d) For $\{x_j^*: j \geq 1\} \subseteq B^*$ and $\{x_j: j \geq 1\} \subseteq H$ as above, $\sum_{j=1}^k x_j^*(f)x_j \rightarrow f$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ everywhere on \mathcal{H} in the sense of \mathcal{H} norm and almost everywhere on C_B in the sense of C_B norm. That is, if P is the Gaussian measure induced on the Borel subsets of C_B by Brownian motion on B , then with P -probability one for $f \in C_B$

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left\| f(t) - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j^*(f(t))x_j \right\|_B \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

and the law of $x_j^*f(t)$ ($j \geq 1$) is that of mutually independent one dimensional Brownian motions normalized as usual.

Proof. For each $t \in [0, 1]$, $x^* \in B^*$, let $\Lambda_{t,x^*}(f) = x^*(f(t))$ for $f \in C_B$. Then $\Lambda_{t,x^*} \in C_B^*$ and these functionals separate points of C_B . To prove $\mathcal{H} = H_0 \otimes H$, suppose $t \in [0, 1]$, $x^* \in B^*$. We first show that the element of C_B defined by $\min\{t, \cdot\}x$ is the Bochner integral $\int_{C_B} \Lambda_{t,x^*}(f)fP(df)$ and therefore by Lemma 2 applied to (C_B, P) we have $\min(t, \cdot)x \in \mathcal{H}$. We proceed by evaluation of the two expressions. If $s \in [0, 1]$, $y^* \in B^*$, then

$$(2) \quad \Lambda_{s,y^*}(\min(t, \cdot)x) = \min(t, s)y^*(x) = \min(t, s)(y, x)_H$$

by Lemma 2. Now

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Lambda_{s,y^*} \left(\int_{C_B} \Lambda_{t,x^*}(f) f P(df) \right) &= \int_{C_B} x^*(f(t)) y^*(f(s)) P(df) \\ &= \int_{C_B} x^*(f(\min(t,s))) y^*(f(\min(t,s))) P(df) \end{aligned}$$

by independence of increments, and using the stationarity of the increments of Brownian motion on B we have (3) equal to

$$(4) \quad \min(t,s) \int_{C_B} x^*(f(1)) y^*(f(1)) P(df) = \min(t,s) (y, x)_H$$

since $f \rightarrow f(1)$ induces the measure $\mu = \mu_1$ on B . Combining (2) and (4) we have $\min(t, \cdot)x \in \mathcal{H}$. From (3) and (4) we have the factorization

$$\begin{aligned} (\min(s, \cdot)y, \min(t, \cdot)x)_{\mathcal{H}} &= \min(s,t) (x, y)_H \\ &= (\min(s, \cdot), \min(t, \cdot))_{H_0} (x, y)_H. \end{aligned}$$

This proves $\mathcal{H} = H_0 \otimes H$ provided the elements $\{\min(t, \cdot)x : 0 \leq t \leq 1, x^* \in B^*\}$ can be shown to span \mathcal{H} (for a discussion of tensor products of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces see [9]). To see this, suppose $f_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ and $(f_0, \min(t, \cdot)x)_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $x^* \in B^*$. By Lemma 2 there is an element L_0 belonging to the closure of the subspace of $L^2(C_B, P)$ spanned by C_B^* for which $f_0 = \int_{C_B} L_0(f) f P(df)$. Then

$$\Lambda_{t,x^*}(f_0) = \int_{C_B} L(f) \Lambda_{t,x^*}(f) P(df) = (f_0, \min(t, \cdot)x)_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$$

for all t and x^* . Hence $f_0 = 0$ in C_B and in \mathcal{H} . This completes the proof of $\mathcal{H} = H_0 \otimes H$.

To prove (b) suppose $x^* \in B^*$, $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $\phi \in H_0$. If f is of the special form $f = \phi_1 x_1$ with $\phi_1^* \in C^*$, $x_1^* \in B^*$, then $x^* f = \phi_1 x^*(x_1) \in H_0$ and $(f, \phi x)_{\mathcal{H}} = (\phi_1 x_1, \phi x)_{\mathcal{H}} = (x_1, x)_H (\phi_1, \phi)_{H_0} = x^*(x_1) (\phi_1, \phi)_{H_0} = (x^*(\phi_1 x_1), \phi)_{H_0} = (x^* f, \phi)_{H_0}$. Thus for every f expressible as a finite sum of elements of the form $\phi_1 x_1$ we have $x^* f \in H_0$ and $(x^* f, \phi)_{H_0} = (f, \phi x)$. To extend this to all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we need for f of the type of sum just considered the inequality $\|x^* f\|_{H_0} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \cdot \|x\|_H$. To prove this note that if $\{\phi_j^* : j \geq 1\} \subseteq C^*$ and $\{\phi_j : j \geq 1\} \subseteq H_0$ is complete and orthonormal for H_0 then for f of the above type,

$$\|x^* f\|_{H_0}^2 = \sum_j (x^* f, \phi_j)_{H_0}^2 = \sum_j (f, \phi_j x)^2 \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \|x\|_H^2$$

since $\{\phi_j x : j \geq 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ are orthogonal in the tensor product and each have norm squared equal to $\|x\|_H^2$. If $f \in \mathcal{H}$ then there exists $f_n \in \mathcal{H}$ of the above type tending to f in \mathcal{H} . Now $\mathcal{H} \subseteq C_B$ and $x^* \in B^*$ implies $x^*(f_n(t)) \rightarrow x^*(f(t))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since $f_n \rightarrow f$ in \mathcal{H} implies $f_n \rightarrow f$ in C_B by Lemma 2. Further, by the above inequality $x^* f_n$ converges in H_0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Combining the last two statements we have $x^* f \in H_0$ and $x^* f_n \rightarrow x^* f$ in H_0 . Finally,

$$(x^*f, \phi)_{H_0} = \lim_n (x^*f_n, \phi)_{H_0} = \lim_n (f_n, x)_{\mathcal{H}} = (f, \phi x)_{\mathcal{H}}$$

and

$$\|x^*f\|_{H_0}^2 = \lim_n \|x^*f_n\|_{H_0}^2 \leq \lim_n \|f_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \|x\|_H^2 = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \|x\|_H^2.$$

The proof of (c) is analogous to (b). Suppose $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. If f is of the form $\phi_1 x_1$ for some $\phi_1^* \in C^*$, $x_1^* \in B^*$ then $f(t) = \phi_1(t) x_1 \in H$ and if $x \in H$ then from Lemma 2 $(f(t), x)_H = x^*f(t) = (f, \min(t, \cdot)x)_{\mathcal{H}}$ from part (a). If f is a finite sum of elements of the above type then $f(t) \in H$, $(f(t), x)_H = (f, \min(t, \cdot)x)_{\mathcal{H}}$ and

$$\|f(t)\|_H^2 = \sum_j (f(t), x_j)_H^2 = \sum_j (f, \min(t, \cdot)x_j)_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \|\min(t, \cdot)\|_{H_0}^2 = t \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2.$$

Suppose f_n are such finite sums and $f_n \rightarrow f$ in \mathcal{H} . Then $f_n(t)$ converges to $f(t)$ in B and $x^*f_n(t) \rightarrow x^*f(t)$ for each $x^* \in B^*$. By the previous inequality $f_n(t)$ converges in H , and hence $f(t) \in H$ and $f_n(t) \rightarrow f(t)$ in H . By passage to the limit we get $(f(t), x)_H = (f, \min(t, \cdot)x)_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\|f(t)\|_H \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \sqrt{t}$.

To prove (d) assume $\{x_j^*: j \geq 1\} \subseteq B^*$ and $\{x_j: j \geq 1\} \subseteq H$ is a complete orthonormal set for H . Likewise suppose $\{\phi_n^*: n \geq 1\} \subseteq C^*$ and $\{\phi_n: n \geq 1\} \subseteq H_0$ is complete and orthonormal for H_0 . Then from (a) $\{\phi_n x_j: n \geq 1, j \geq 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ is complete and orthonormal for \mathcal{H} . For arbitrary $n \geq 1, j \geq 1$ we see that for every $\phi \in H_0$, $x \in H$, $\phi_n^* x_j^*(\phi x) = \phi_n^*(\phi) x_j^*(x)$ by linearity. From Lemma 2 we have $\phi_n^* x_j^*(\phi x) = (\phi_n, \phi)_{H_0} (x_j, x)_H$ and hence $\phi_n^* x_j^*$ yields $\phi_n x_j$ by Bochner integration on C_B . Then everywhere on \mathcal{H} and in \mathcal{H} norm we have $f = \sum_{n,j} (f, \phi_n x_j)_{\mathcal{H}} \phi_n x_j = \sum_{n,j} \phi_n^*(x_j^*(f)) \phi_n x_j$. For each $j \geq 1$ the series may be summed on n in \mathcal{H} obtaining

$$f = \sum_j \left(\sum_n (f, \phi_n x_j)_{\mathcal{H}} \phi_n \right) x_j = \sum_j \left(\sum_n (x_j^* f, \phi_n)_{H_0} \phi_n \right) x_j = \sum_j x_j^*(f) x_j.$$

The argument may be repeated almost everywhere on C_B in C_B norm and hence $f = \sum_j x_j^*(f) x_j$ almost everywhere on C_B .

Using the explicit description of H_0 given previous to the present lemma the characterization of \mathcal{H} with which we began the statement of the lemma follows easily from the above series representation.

Since P is a mean zero Gaussian measure on C_B^1 it follows easily from (3) and (4) (since the joint distributions are all Gaussian) that $x_j^*f(t)$ ($j \geq 1$) are independent one dimensional Brownian motions.

For every $\epsilon > 0$ let \mathcal{K}_ϵ denote the open ϵ -neighborhood of \mathcal{K} in C_B .

Lemma 5. *For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $r > 1$ such that*

$$P\{f \in C_B: f/\sqrt{2 \log \log s} \notin \mathcal{K}_\epsilon\} \leq \exp(-r^2 \log \log s)$$

for all sufficiently large s .

Proof. This result can be proved just as Proposition 1 of [8] is obtained.

Lemma 6. *If $\epsilon > 0$ one may choose $c > 1$ sufficiently close to one so that for every $f \in \Omega_B$ the statements $[c^n] \leq s \leq [c^{n+1}]$ and $f([c^{n+1}] \cdot) / \{2[c^{n+1}] \log \log [c^{n+1}]\}^{1/2} \in \mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ together imply $f(s \cdot) / \sqrt{2s \log \log s} \in \mathcal{K}_{2\epsilon}$ for all sufficiently large n .*

Proof. Suppose $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $c > 1$ so that for all sufficiently large n , $\gamma_n \epsilon + (\gamma_n - 1) \|P\| < 2\epsilon$ where

$$\gamma_n = \left(\frac{[c^{n+1}] \log \log [c^{n+1}]}{[c^n] \log \log [c^n]} \right)^{1/2}.$$

This is possible because $[c^{n+1}] < c^2 [c^n]$ for all large n . If $h \in \mathcal{K}$, $f \in C_B$,

$$\|f([c^{n+1}] \cdot) / (2[c^{n+1}] \log \log [c^{n+1}])^{1/2} - h(\cdot)\|_{C_B} < \epsilon,$$

then

$$\left\| f(s \cdot) / (2[c^{n+1}] \log \log [c^{n+1}])^{1/2} - h\left(\frac{s \cdot}{[c^{n+1}]}\right) \right\|_{C_B} < \epsilon$$

and

$$\left\| h\left(\frac{s \cdot}{[c^{n+1}]}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \frac{s}{[c^{n+1}]} \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 1.$$

Hence $h((s/[c^{n+1}]) \cdot) \in \mathcal{K}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(s \cdot) / (2s \log \log s)^{1/2} - h\left(\frac{s \cdot}{[c^{n+1}]}\right) \right\|_{C_B} \\ & \leq \left\| f(s \cdot) / (2s \log \log s)^{1/2} - \left(\frac{[c^{n+1}] \log \log [c^{n+1}]}{s \log \log s} \right)^{1/2} h\left(\frac{s \cdot}{[c^{n+1}]}\right) \right\|_{C_B} \\ & \quad + \|P\| \left\| \left(\frac{[c^{n+1}] \log \log [c^{n+1}]}{s \log \log s} \right)^{1/2} h\left(\frac{s \cdot}{[c^{n+1}]}\right) - h\left(\frac{s \cdot}{[c^{n+1}]}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ & \leq \gamma_n \epsilon + (\gamma_n - 1) \|P\| < 2\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

if n is sufficiently large.

For what follows we assume $\{x_j^*: j \geq 1\} \subseteq B^*$ and $\{x_j: j \geq 1\} \subseteq H$ is complete and orthonormal for H . For each $k \geq 1$ and $f \in C_B$ let $f^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^k x_j^*(f) x_j$.

Lemma 7. *For each $\epsilon > 0$ and $r > 1$ there exists k sufficiently large so that*

$$(5) \quad P(f \in C_B: \|f - f^{(k)}\|_{C_B} \geq \epsilon \sqrt{2 \log \log s}) \leq \exp(-r^2 \log \log s)$$

for all sufficiently large s .

Proof. By (d) of Lemma 4 this result follows just as in Lemma 4 of [8].

The main theorem and some corollaries. Our basic theorem is the following and implies the result of Strassen mentioned in I and that of LePage in II.

Theorem 1. Let $\{W(t): 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ be Brownian motion on B and for each $t \in [0, 1]$, $s \geq 3$, let

$$\zeta_s(t) = W(st)/\sqrt{2s \log \log s}.$$

Then the net $\{\zeta_s: s \geq 3\}$ is a subset of C_B and with probability one converges in C_B to the compact set \mathcal{K} and clusters at every point of \mathcal{K} , where \mathcal{K} is the unit ball of the reproducing kernel Hilbert space (equivalently, \mathcal{K} is the unit ball of the Hilbert subspace of C_B which generates P).

Proof. That \mathcal{K} is compact in C_B follows from Lemma 3 by applying the lemma to C_B , \mathcal{H} , and $\|\cdot\|_{C_B}$. For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $r > 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(\zeta_s \notin \mathcal{K}_\epsilon) &= P(f \in C_B: \|f - \mathcal{K}\|_{C_B} \geq \epsilon\sqrt{2 \log \log s}) \\ &\leq \exp(-r^2 \log \log s) \end{aligned}$$

for all sufficiently large s by Lemma 5. Hence by the Borel-Cantelli lemma for $c > 1$ there is a set A of probability one such that the sequence $\zeta_{c^n} \in \mathcal{K}_\epsilon$ for all but finitely many n . Therefore by Lemma 6 $\zeta_s \in \mathcal{K}_{2\epsilon}$ for all s sufficiently large on the set A . Letting ϵ converge to zero through a countable set we have

$$\Pr\{\zeta_s \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } C_B\} = 1.$$

To prove \mathcal{K} is almost surely the set of cluster points of $\{\zeta_s: s \geq 3\}$ it suffices by the separability of \mathcal{K} to prove that if $h \in \mathcal{K}$, $\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} < 1$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $c > 1$ so that with probability one $\|\zeta_{c^n} - h\|_{C_B} < \epsilon$ for infinitely many n . By Lemma 7 choose $r > 1$ and k sufficiently large so that (5) holds with ϵ replaced by $\epsilon/3$ for all sufficiently large s . By Lemma 4(d) choose k large enough so that $\|h - h^{(k)}\|_{C_B} < \epsilon/3$. Then for every $c > 1$, applying these estimates and the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we have with probability one that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta_{c^n} - h\|_{C_B} &\leq 2\epsilon/3 + \|\zeta_{c^n}^{(k)} - h^{(k)}\|_{C_B} \\ &\leq 2\epsilon/3 + \|P\| \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|\zeta_{c^n}^{(k)}(t) - h^{(k)}(t)\|_H \end{aligned}$$

for all sufficiently large n .

It now suffices to show that with probability one

$$(6) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|\zeta_{c^n}^{(k)}(t) - h^{(k)}(t)\|_H < \epsilon/3 \|P\|$$

for infinitely many n . Our argument follows an idea due to Strassen.

Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer, $0 < \delta < 1$, and assume $Z_{j,[c^n]}$ and h_j ($j = 1, \dots, k$) are the j th-coordinates of $\xi_{[c^n]}^{(k)}$ and $h^{(k)}$. We define the event

$$A_n = \{w: |(Z_{j,[c^n]}(w, i/m) - Z_{j,[c^n]}(w, i - 1/m)) - (h_j(i/m) - h_j(i - 1/m))| < \delta/k \text{ for } i = 2, \dots, m \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

Then

$$\Pr(A_n) \geq \prod_{i=2}^m \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{a_{ij}}^{b_{ij}} e^{-s^2/2} ds$$

where (letting LL denote log log)

$$a_{ij} = |h_j(i/m) - h_j(i - 1/m)| \sqrt{2m \text{LL}[c^n]},$$

$$b_{ij} = (|h_j(i/m) - h_j(i - 1/m)| + \delta/k) \sqrt{2m \text{LL}[c^n]},$$

for $i = 2, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, k$. Using the estimate

$$\int_a^b \exp(-s^2/2) ds \geq \frac{\exp(-a^2/2)}{b} (1 - \exp(-(b^2 - a^2)/2)) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq a < b$$

we have a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\Pr(A_n) \geq \gamma \prod_{i=2}^m \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\exp(-a_{ij}^2/2)}{b_{ij}}$$

for all n sufficiently large (because $0 \leq a_{ij} < b_{ij}$ implies $b_{ij}^2 - a_{ij}^2 \geq (b_{ij} - a_{ij})^2 \geq (\delta^2/k^2) 2m \text{LL}[c^n]$). Hence there is a constant $\gamma_1 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(A_n) &\geq \frac{\gamma_1 \exp\left\{\sum_{i=2}^m \sum_{j=1}^k (h_j(i/m) - h_j(i - 1/m))^2 m \text{LL}[c^n]\right\}}{(2m \text{LL}[c^n])^{(m-1)k/2}} \\ &\geq \frac{\gamma_1 \exp\{-\|h^k\|_{\mathcal{H}} \cdot \text{LL}[c^n]\}}{(2m \text{LL}[c^n])^{mk/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and since $\theta = \|h^k\|_{\mathcal{H}} < 1$ we have

$$\Pr(A_n) \geq \frac{\gamma_1}{(\log[c^n])^\theta (2m \text{LL}[c^n])^{mk/2}}.$$

Hence for $c = m$ we have A_1, A_2, \dots independent and

$$\Pr(A_n) \geq \frac{\gamma_1}{(n \log m)^\theta (2m(\log n + \text{LL}m))^{mk/2}} \geq \frac{\gamma_2}{n^\theta (\log n)^{mk/2}}$$

for all n sufficiently large.

Now $\theta < 1$ implies $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Pr(A_n) = \infty$ so by Borel-Cantelli $\Pr(\limsup_n A_n) = 1$.

Using the fact that the H -norm and the B -norm are equivalent on the finite dimensional subspace of B generated by $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ we have by the first part of the proof that with probability one $\xi_{[c]}^{(k)}(t)$ is eventually within δ of $\mathcal{K}^{(k)}$. Here $\mathcal{K}^{(k)}$ is the subset of \mathcal{K} consisting of functions of the form $\sum_{j=1}^k x_j^*(w(t))x_j$. Hence with probability one

$$(7) \quad \|\xi_{[c]}^{(k)}(s) - \xi_{[c]}^{(k)}(t)\|_H \leq \sqrt{|t-s|} + \delta$$

for all $0 \leq s, t \leq 1$ and all n sufficiently large. Now if $y \in C_B[0, 1]$ and y satisfies

$$(a) \quad \|y^{(k)}(t) - y^{(k)}(s)\|_H \leq \sqrt{|t-s|} + \delta \quad (0 \leq s, t \leq 1),$$

$$(b) \quad |(y_j(i/m) - y_j((i-1)/m)) - (h_j(i/m) - h_j((i-1)/m))| < \delta/k$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, k$, $2 \leq i \leq m$ where y_j is the j th coordinate of y , then

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|y^{(k)}(t) - h^k(t)\|_H < \epsilon/3 \|P\|$$

provided m is sufficiently large and δ is sufficiently small. Using the definition of A_n and (7) we see that with probability one (6) holds for infinitely many n . This concludes the proof.

The next corollary follows immediately from Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. *Let θ be a continuous function on C_B into a Hausdorff topological space Y and assume the notation of Theorem 1. Then with probability one $\{\theta \circ \xi_s : s \geq 3\}$ converges to the compact set $\theta(\mathcal{K})$ and clusters at each point of $\theta(\mathcal{K})$.*

Corollary 2. *If $\{W(t) : 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ is Brownian motion on B , then*

$$\Pr\left(\overline{\lim}_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|W(s)\|_B}{\sqrt{2s \log \log s}} = \sup_{x \in K} \|x\|_B\right) = 1.$$

Proof. Since $\|W(s)\|_B / \sqrt{2s \log \log s} = \|\xi_s(1)\|_B$ this result follows from Corollary 1 with $\theta(f) = \|f(1)\|_B$ and by showing that $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{K}} \|f(1)\|_B = \sup_{x \in K} \|x\|_B$. Now if $f \in \mathcal{K}$, then by Lemma 4(c) $\|f(1)\|_H \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 1$ and hence $f(1) \in K \subseteq H$. On the other hand, if $x \in K$ we can set $f(t) = tx$ and then $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = (x, x)_H \leq 1$. Hence $f(t) = tx \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\theta(f) = \|x\|_B$. By combining the above we have

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{K}} \|f(1)\|_B = \sup_{x \in K} \|x\|_B,$$

and the proof is complete.

For the following recall the statements I and II of the introduction.

Corollary 3. *I holds.*

Proof. If $B = \mathbf{R}^k$ then $H = B$, $\mathcal{K} = K_k$ and the result in I follows immediately.

Corollary 4. II holds.

Proof. Construct Brownian motion in B , call it $\{W(t): 0 \leq t < \infty\}$, such that $\mu_1 = \mu$. Let $\theta(f) = f(1)$ for $f \in C_B$. Using the stationary independent increments of $\{W(t)\}$ it follows that the joint distributions of $\{\xi_n: n \geq 3\}$ are identical to those of $\{\theta(\zeta_n): n \geq 3\}$ where ζ_n is as in Theorem 1. Hence with probability one $\{\xi_n: n \geq 3\}$ converges to the set $\theta(\mathcal{K})$ and clusters at each point of $\theta(\mathcal{K})$ by Corollary 1. Now by the argument given in Corollary 2 $\theta(\mathcal{K}) = K$ and hence the proof is complete.

Remark. In view of Lemma 3 it follows that K is compact in B and hence Corollary 4 actually implies the sequence $\{\zeta_n: n \geq 3\}$ is relatively norm compact with probability one and that its limit points consist precisely of K (with probability one). This is slightly stronger than II. Finally II generalizes the law of the iterated logarithm of [3] to Gaussian random variables in B .

REFERENCES

1. R. Dudley, J. Feldman and L. LeCam, *On seminorms and probabilities, and abstract Wiener spaces*, Ann. of Math.
2. L. Gross, *Lectures in modern analysis and applications*. II, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 140, Springer-Verlag, New York.
3. P. Hartman and A. Wintner, *On the law of the iterated logarithm*, Amer. J. Math. **63** (1941), 169–176. MR 2,228.
4. W. Feller, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*. Vol. II, Wiley, New York, 1966. MR 35 #1048.
5. G. Kallianpur, *Abstract Wiener processes and their reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete **17** (1971), 113–123. MR 43 #6961.
6. J. Kuelbs, *Expansions of vectors in a Banach space related to Gaussian measures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (1971), 364–370. MR 42 #2517.
7. R. LePage, *Note relating Bochner integrals and reproducing kernels to series expansions on a Gaussian Banach space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (1972),
8. ———, *Log Log law for Gaussian processes*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete (to appear).
9. E. Parzen, *Probability density functionals and reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces*, Proc. Sympos. Time Series Analysis (Brown University, 1962), Wiley, New York, 1963, pp. 155–169. MR 26 #7119.
10. V. Strassen, *An invariance principle for the law of the iterated logarithm*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete **3** (1964), 211–226. MR 30 #5379.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, WISCONSIN 53706 (Current address of J. Kuelbs)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, BOULDER, COLORADO 80302

Current address (R. LePage): Department of Probability and Statistics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48823